

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <http://www.researchgate.net/publication/278545508>

Anthropomorphisation of Software Agents as a Persuasive Tool

CONFERENCE PAPER · JULY 2015

READS

28

5 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



[Chris James Headleand](#)

Bangor University

13 PUBLICATIONS 5 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



[Panagiotis D. Ritsos](#)

University of Chester

25 PUBLICATIONS 23 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Anthropomorphisation of Software Agents as a Persuasive Tool

Chris Headleand

School of Computer Science
Bangor University
Bangor, Gwynedd UK
c.headleand@bangor.ac.uk

Llyr Ap Cenydd

School of Computer Science
Bangor University
Bangor, Gwynedd UK
llyr.ap.cenydd@bangor.ac.uk

Lee Priday

School of Psychology
Bangor University
Bangor, Gwynedd UK
psp2c9@bangor.ac.uk

Panagiotis D. Ritsos

Computer Science Department
University of Chester
Chester, Cheshire UK
p.ritsos@chester.ac.uk

Jonathan C. Roberts

School of Computer Science
Bangor University
Bangor, Gwynedd UK
j.c.roberts@bangor.ac.uk

William Teahan

School of Computer Science
Bangor University
Bangor, Gwynedd UK
w.j.teahan@bangor.ac.uk

Abstract

In this position paper, we make an argument for the anthropomorphism of software agents as a persuasive tool. We begin by discussing some of the relevant applications, before providing a brief introduction to the CASA theory of social interaction with computers. We conclude by describing a selection of the evidence for anthropomorphism, and an argument for further research into this area.

Author Keywords

Anthropomorphism, Software Agents, HCI, HAI, CASA

ACM Classification Keywords

H.5.m [Information interfaces and presentation (e.g., HCI)]: Miscellaneous.

Introduction

In many Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) applications, there is an aspiration for the computer to appear as an intelligent, active and personalized collaborator [6]. Consequently, the traditional interaction metaphor of direct manipulation [17] (where events are initiated, monitored and interpreted by the user) is gradually replaced by one where software agents are used to enable more natural, 'human' interaction. This is an interaction metaphor referred to as indirect management [4].

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the author/owner(s). Copyright is held by the author/owner(s).
Workshop on Understanding Persuasion: HCI as a Medium for Persuasion, British HCI 2015

Various different HCI paradigms make use of the concept of humanization, in varying degrees. For example, wearable computers, as defined by Steve Mann, ideally exhibit a series of attributes that enhance the ‘humanity’ of the computer, e.g., *attentive to the environment* and context aware, so as to *unmonopolise of the user’s attention* [7].

Humans are social with a variety of non-human entities (such as pets for example), without these entities being inherently persuasive. The CASA paradigm doesn’t preclude that anthropomorphism could be a persuasive quality.

This endowment of computer agents with human qualities is often referred to as *anthropomorphisation*¹ and is an ongoing objective of HCI systems and applications, with the intention of allowing users to interact more naturally with the software. Anthropomorphisation can encompass different aspects of human-like behavior, and the the goal of the designer is to provide more ‘natural interaction’, such as voice interaction on Apple’s Siri. We propose that anthropomorphisation could also be a key factor in persuasive technologies, and warrants ongoing research.

Computers are Social Agents

An argument against the anthropomorphism of software agents is the Computers Are Social Actors (CASA) theory, which states that interactions between humans and computers are “fundamentally social” and “not the result of conscious beliefs that computers are human or human-like” [12]. Evidence has been provided to support this, and similar theories [15] providing one argument against the need for further anthropomorphism. However, even if we accept this theory, we propose that the humanization of software agents could still be a powerful tool for persuasive technologies as social interaction alone is not inherently persuasive.

Arguments for the Anthropomorphism

There is compelling evidence that supports the position that anthropomorphism is an important persuasive factor. For example, anthropomorphic agents used in marketing have been shown to have a positive influence on advertising effectiveness [1]. Research has also demonstrated that in some applications (such as games) a human player will show preference towards agents they accept as human controlled or human-like [8]. Users have also been shown to be more comfortable communicating

with real-time avatars that move like humans [19]. This also impacts trust, as humans may view behavior from an agent they believe to be human (or human-like) more favorably and notice positive behavior more often [9]. Conversely, players are more likely to assign blame to agents identified as AI controlled [10].

Taking a case study of data visualization, where developers create novel methods to display data, it is possible that the choice of interface design, layout and interaction behaviors can persuade the user (or not) that the data is accurate, or that there are correlations visible in the depiction. Kahneman’s stage 1 thinking [3], and prior work on uncertainty would suggest that anthropomorphism would be an important factor in persuasion [5], but to date there has been little research in this area – especially in the field of data visualization.

There is also evidence that the visual appearance of agents can elicit behaviors. For example, changing the qualities of the agent (such as male or female attributes) will have a direct effect on our behavior towards them [14]. Avatars with a female appearance prompt stereotypical masculine behaviors, and vice versa [16]. In addition a study by Slater et al. [18] (repeated Milgram’s [11] classic electric shock experiment in a virtual world) identified that participants may show greater concern for visually anthropomorphic characters.

Conclusion

If anthropomorphic agents engender greater trust in humans that interact with them, and if these agents can elicit desirable behaviors, then there are clear applications for persuasive technologies. With character agents specifically, we can draw links between anthropomorphism and the narrative transportation theory of persuasion [2],

¹from the Greek *anthropos* (human) and *morphe* (form/shape)

which could be an important consideration for serious games and training in virtual environments.

We also need to explore how anthropomorphism impacts data perception. Increased trust on behalf of the user could allow for implicit, or unintentional deception. This could lead to miss-identification of trends and correlations; over confidence in the credibility of a data-set; or more worryingly, targeted deceit. This has clear implications for the field of data visualization and warrants investigation.

There is a need to perform further research to investigate how anthropomorphic agents influence behavior, and can they be used to persuade especially in a constructive, positive and beneficial way?

Studies have demonstrated that although participants will interact socially with both human and computer controlled avatars, users experience greater telepresence with avatars that were anthropomorphic [13]. A sense of greater presence within a virtual world may better engage a user in the narrative.

References

- [1] Choi, Y. K., Miracle, G. E., and Biocca, F. The effects of anthropomorphic agents on advertising effectiveness and the mediating role of presence. *J. AD 2*, 1 (2001), 19–32.
- [2] Escalas, J. E. Imagine yourself in the product: Mental simulation, narrative transportation, and persuasion. *J. Advertising 33*, 2 (2004), 37–48.
- [3] Kahneman, D. *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2011.
- [4] Kay, A. User interface: A personal view. *The art of human-computer interface design* (1990), 191–207.
- [5] MacEachren, A. M. It's not about the data. In *EuroVis Workshop on Visual Analytics (EuroVA) 2015*, Eurographics (2015), 55 – 59.
- [6] Maes, P. Agents that reduce work and information overload. *Commun. ACM 37*, 7 (1994), 30–40.
- [7] Mann, S. Wearable computing as means for personal empowerment. In *Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. on Wearable Computing (ICWC)* (1998), 51–59.
- [8] Merritt, T., McGee, K., Chuah, T. L., and Ong, C. Choosing human team-mates: perceived identity as a moderator of player preference and enjoyment. In *Proc. FDG 2011*, ACM (2011), 196–203.
- [9] Merritt, T., Ong, C., Chuah, T. L., and McGee, K. Did you notice? artificial team-mates take risks for players. In *Intelligent Virtual Agents*, Springer (2011), 338–349.
- [10] Merritt, T. R., Tan, K. B., Ong, C., Thomas, A., Chuah, T. L., and McGee, K. Are artificial team-mates scapegoats in computer games. In *Proc. CSCW 2011*, ACM (2011), 685–688.
- [11] Milgram, S. Behavioral study of obedience. *J. Abnorm. Psychol. 67*, 4 (1963), 371.
- [12] Nass, C., Steuer, J., and Tauber, E. R. Computers are social actors. In *In Proc. CHI 1994*, ACM (1994), 72–78.
- [13] Nowak, K., and Biocca, F. The effect of the agency and anthropomorphism on users' sense of telepresence, copresence, and social presence in virtual environments. *Presence 12*, 5 (2003), 481–494.
- [14] Nowak, K. L., and Rauh, C. The influence of the avatar on online perceptions of anthropomorphism, androgyny, credibility, homophily, and attraction. *J. Comput-Mediat. Comm. 11*, 1 (2005), 153–178.
- [15] Reeves, B., and Nass, C. *How people treat computers, television, and new media like real people and places*. CSLI Publications and Cambridge university press, 1996.
- [16] Sherrick, B., Hoewe, J., and Waddell, T. F. The role of stereotypical beliefs in gender-based activation of the proteus effect. *Comput. Hum. Behav. 38* (2014), 17–24.
- [17] Shneiderman, B. Direct manipulation: A step beyond programming languages. *Computer 16*, 8 (Aug 1983), 57–69.
- [18] Slater, M., Antley, A., Davison, A., Swapp, D., Guger, C., Barker, C., Pistrang, N., and Sanchez-Vives, M. V. A virtual reprise of the stanley milgram obedience experiments. *PloS one 1*, 1 (2006), e39.
- [19] Tanaka, K., Onoue, S., Nakanishi, H., and Ishiguro, H. Motion is enough: How real-time avatars improve distant communication. In *Proc. CTS 2013*, IEEE (2013), 465–472.